

Errata of 18th January 2008

page	line	is	should be
1	34	any map	any map of contiguous regions
20	19	variable-conflict	variable conflict
50	11	$\left \biguplus_{T \in X} k(T) \right $	$= \left \biguplus_{T \in X} k(T) \right $
56	32	$D.belowSum = 2$	$D.belowSum = 3$
60	3	$D.penalty$	both $D.penalty$ and $D.conflict[S]$
60	5	both $D.conflict[S]$ and $D.conflict[T]$	$D.conflict[T]$
60	16	$D.penalty$	both $D.penalty$ and $D.conflict[S]$
60	18	$D.conflict[S]$ and $D.conflict[T]$	$D.conflict[T]$
73	9	on page 18 of penalty	of penalty on page 18
78	5	page 20	page 21
93	1	incrementally ,	incrementally,
106	18	the size	the maximum size
143	21	some boat	some host boat
145	22	lines 26 and 32	lines 27 and 32
151	6	line 17	line 18
178	18	the shortest	a shortest
182	18	the shortest	a shortest
187	23	Note that	Note that, when $k(S) \neq \mathbf{U}$ or $k(T) \neq \emptyset$,
198	4	$\left \biguplus_{T \in X} k(T) \right $	$= \left \biguplus_{T \in X} k(T) \right $
219	30	Jorg	Jörg

Page 186, line 27: ‘Consider first the case ... under the current assumptions.’ should be:

Consider first the case when $R = S$ and $k(T) = \mathbf{U}$ (which implies that $k(S) \neq \emptyset$). Then we must have that $\emptyset \neq \ell(S) \subseteq \ell(T)$ since, otherwise, β would not be minimised (since the configuration $k \in \mathcal{L}$ would make β smaller). Given this we have that $\alpha - \beta = 1 - 1 = 0$ and the result follows by (A.27) under the current assumptions.